arXiv:1703.03361v1 [cond-mat.stat-mech] 9 Mar 2017

Emergence of Tsallis statistics as a consequence of invariance

Sergio Davis[1,*] and Gonzalo Gutiérrez[2]

[1] *Comisión Chilena de Energía Nuclear, Casilla 188-D, Santiago, Chile*
[2] *Grupo de Nanomateriales, Departamento de Física, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Chile, Casilla 653, Santiago, Chile*

(Dated: March 10, 2017)

For non-equilibrium systems in a steady state we present two necessary and sufficient conditions for the emergence of q-canonical ensembles, also known as Tsallis statistics. These conditions are invariance requirements over the definition of subsystem and environment, and over the joint rescaling of temperature and energy. Our approach is independent of, but complementary to, the notions of Tsallis non-extensive statistics and Superstatistics.

INTRODUCTION

A system in thermodynamic equilibrium with its surroundings is described by the canonical ensemble, whose energy distribution is given by

$$P(E|\beta) = \frac{\exp(-\beta E)}{Z(\beta)}\Omega(E), \tag{1}$$

where $\Omega(E)$ is the density of states. However, for a system in an arbitrary steady state this is not necessarily the case, and the energy distribution will in general be given by

$$P(E|\rho) = \rho(E)\Omega(E), \tag{2}$$

where the function $\rho(E)$ is known as the generalized Boltzmann factor[1, 2]. After the canonical ensemble, one of the most ubiquitous distributions is known as the q-canonical ensemble. Systems described by q-canonical distributions are common in Nature, as non-equilibrium steady states in plasmas [3, 4], fluids under turbulence [5], astrophysical systems where gravitational interactions are dominant [6], high-energy collisions [7], among several others. The q-canonical ensemble is a model described by two parameters, β_0 and q, and with generalized Boltzmann factor given by

$$\rho(E;\beta_0,q) = \frac{1}{\eta(\beta_0,q)}\left[1-(1-q)\beta_0 E\right]_+^{\frac{1}{1-q}} \tag{3}$$

where $[x]_+ = \max(x,0)$. In the limit $q \to 1$, the q-canonical ensemble converges to the canonical ensemble.

The central problem in terms of fundamental statistical physics is to explain the origin of these non-canonical distributions. In 1988 Constantino Tsallis [8, 9] proposed a generalization of Boltzmann-Gibbs statistical mechanics now known as non-extensive statistical mechanics, in which instead of the Gibbs-Shannon entropy one maximizes the generalized entropy

$$S_q = \frac{1}{q-1}\left(1-\int d\boldsymbol{x} p(\boldsymbol{x})\right)^q \tag{4}$$

subjected to constraints. After Tsallis' work, q is known as the non-extensivity parameter or entropic index, and the q-canonical statistics as Tsallis statistics. More recently, alternative mechanisms for producing q-canonical ensembles have been proposed, most prominently the idea of Superstatistics [1, 10], which considers a system with a statistical distribution of temperatures described by the probability density $P(\beta|\rho)$.

In the so-called type-B formulation of Superstatistics [10, 11], the generalized Boltzmann factor $\rho(E)$ induced by $P(\beta|\rho)$ is written as the Laplace transform of the function $f(\beta) = P(\beta|\rho)/Z(\beta)$,

$$\rho(E) = \int_0^\infty d\beta \left[\frac{P(\beta|\rho)}{Z(\beta)}\right]\exp(-\beta E). \tag{5}$$

In the particular case when there is a single temperature, i.e. $P(\beta|\rho)$ is a Dirac delta function, we recover the canonical ensemble, whereas the q-canonical ensemble arises when $f(\beta)$ is given by a Gamma distribution.

In this work we propose a third mechanism, an alternative to Tsallis non-extensive statistical mechanics and Superstatistics. We show that the q-canonical ensemble arises naturally from invariance considerations, when expressed using the concept of the *fundamental temperature function*.

THE FUNDAMENTAL TEMPERATURE FUNCTION

For an ensemble described by the generalized Boltzmann factor $\rho(E)$, let us define the fundamental inverse temperature [12, 13] function $\beta_F(E)$ as

$$\beta_F(E) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial E}\ln\rho(E). \tag{6}$$

This quantity has appeared before in the literature as an effective inverse temperature induced by the surroundings of a system [2, 14]. Here, as we will see, it will take a broader meaning, as a valid definition of inverse temperature for the system itself.

Knowledge of $\beta_F(E)$ is completely equivalent to knowledge of $\rho(E)$, as we can recover it by simple integration and normalization, and thus it also completely describes the ensemble. However, it has usually a simpler form than ρ and can be read in a more intuitive way. A model with fundamental inverse temperature β_F has a generalized equipartition theorem given by [13]

$$\Big\langle \nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{v}\Big\rangle_\rho = \Big\langle \beta_F(H)\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\nabla H\Big\rangle_\rho, \tag{7}$$

whose equivalent, in the superstatistical formalism, is the identity

$$\begin{aligned}\Big\langle \nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{v}\Big\rangle_\rho &= \int_0^\infty d\beta P(\beta|\rho)\cdot\beta\Big\langle \boldsymbol{v}\cdot\nabla H\Big\rangle_\beta \\ &= \Big\langle \beta\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\nabla H\Big\rangle_\rho,\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

constructed from taking expectation of the canonical equipartition theorem [15], $\big\langle \nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{v}\big\rangle_\beta = \beta\big\langle \boldsymbol{v}\cdot\nabla H\big\rangle_\beta$ under $P(\beta|\rho)$. As Eqs. 7 and 8 are both valid for any field $\boldsymbol{v}$, it follows that

$$\beta_F(E) = \big\langle\beta\big\rangle_{E,\rho},$$

that, is, β_F is equal to the conditional expectation of the parameter β at a fixed energy E. As a direct proof of the last assertion, consider the energy distribution functions for the canonical (Eq. 1) and the ensemble described by $\rho(E)$ in Eq. 2, and then use Bayes' theorem to construct

$$P(\beta|E,\rho) = \frac{P(\beta|\rho)P(E|\beta)}{P(E|\rho)} = \frac{P(\beta|\rho)\exp(-\beta E)}{\rho(E)Z(\beta)}. \tag{9}$$

Taking the expectation of β with this probability distribution yields,

$$\begin{aligned}\big\langle\beta\big\rangle_{E,\rho} &= \int_0^\infty d\beta P(\beta|E,\rho)\cdot\beta \\ &= \int_0^\infty d\beta\left[\frac{P(\beta|\rho)\exp(-\beta E)}{\rho(E)Z(\beta)}\right]\cdot\beta \\ &= -\frac{1}{\rho(E)}\int_0^\infty d\beta\frac{P(\beta|\rho)}{Z(\beta)}\frac{\partial}{\partial E}\left(\exp(-\beta E)\right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{\rho(E)}\frac{\partial\rho(E)}{\partial E} = \beta_F(E).\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

The canonical ensemble, having Boltzmann factor $\rho(E;\beta_0)\propto\exp(-\beta_0 E)$, is the only model having fundamental temperature independent of E, $\beta_F(E;\beta_0)=\beta_0$. For the q-canonical model given by Eq. 3, the fundamental inverse temperature function is given by [16]

$$\beta_F(E;\beta_0,q) = \frac{\beta_0}{1-(1-q)\beta_0 E}, \tag{11}$$

Ensemble	$\rho(E)$	$\beta_F(E)$
Canonical	$\exp(-\beta_0 E)/Z(\beta_0)$	β_0
q-canonical	$\frac{1}{\eta(\beta_0,q)}\left[1-(1-q)\beta_0 E\right]_+^{\frac{1}{1-q}}$	$\beta_0/(1-(1-q)\beta_0 E)$
Gaussian	$\frac{1}{Z(a,E_t)}\exp(-a(E-E_t)^2)$	$2a(E-E_t)$

TABLE I. Generalized Boltzmann factor $\rho(E)$ and fundamental inverse temperature function $\beta_F(E)$ for the canonical, q-canonical and Gaussian [17, 18] ensembles.

which clearly reduces to $\beta_F(E;\beta_0)=\beta_0$ when $q=1$. There is no need for a careful limiting procedure, as β_F is well-behaved for any q.

STATEMENT OF THE POSTULATES

Our aim in this section is to describe the q-canonical ensemble with a minimal set of postulates, based on our definition of the fundamental temperature. In fact, we will show that only two such postulates suffice to recover the q-canonical form of β_F given in Eq. 11.

The first postulate asserts that the same fundamental temperature function applies to the whole of a system, as well as its parts. More precisely, for a system with energy E which can be divided into two contributions, namely h and g, we impose that

$$\beta_F(h+g;\beta_0) = \beta_F(h;\beta_F(g;\beta_0)). \tag{12}$$

A simple interpretation of this is that part of the system, encoded in g, is "absorbed" into the environment that affects the rest (represented by h), and that environment is no longer constant, but depends on the fluctuations of g through the same function β_F.

Setting $h=g=0$ in Eq. 12 leads to the boundary condition $\beta_F(0;\beta_0)=\beta_0$ for any value of β_0, that is, the limit of low energy always corresponds to the canonical ensemble. The same behavior is apparent from Eq. 7 for $E\to 0$ if we expand to first order,

$$\Big\langle \nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{v}\Big\rangle_\rho \approx \Big\langle \beta_F(0)\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\nabla H\Big\rangle_\rho = \beta_0\Big\langle \boldsymbol{v}\cdot\nabla H\Big\rangle_\rho. \tag{13}$$

The second postulate requires the existence of a function $D(x)$ such that

$$\beta_F(E;\beta_0)E = D(\beta_0 E). \tag{14}$$

In this way, the physical properties of the system only depend on the product βE (the ratio *energy/temperature*). Rescaling the energy and the temperature simultaneously by the same factor α cannot have any effect on the description of the system. This can

be easily seen in Eq. 7, where rescaling $E \to \alpha E$ and $\beta_F \to \beta_F/\alpha$ will not change the expectation of $\left\langle \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{v} \right\rangle_\rho$.

It is a simple exercise to check that, for the q-canonical fundamental temperature given in Eq. 11 both requirements (Eqs. 12 and 14) hold for any value of q. We will go further, and in the next section we will show that the q-canonical ensemble is the only possible model compatible with both postulates simultaneously.

PROOF OF THE UNIQUENESS OF THE q-CANONICAL FORM

We start by assuming the first postulate,

$$\beta_F(h+g;\beta_0) = \beta_F(h;\beta_F(g;\beta_0)), \tag{15}$$

and recognizing its validity for any combination of values of h, g and β_0. By differentiation with respect to h and g, we find a system of differential equations, namely

$$\frac{\partial \beta_F(A;\beta_0)}{\partial A}\Big|_{A=h+g} = \frac{\partial \beta_F(h;\beta_F(g;\beta_0))}{\partial h}, \tag{16}$$

$$\frac{\partial \beta_F(A;\beta_0)}{\partial A}\Big|_{A=h+g} = \frac{\partial \beta_F(h;B)}{\partial B}\Big|_{B=\beta_F(g;\beta_0)} \frac{\partial \beta_F(g;\beta_0)}{\partial g}. \tag{17}$$

Combining Eq. 16 and 17 we get

$$\frac{\partial \beta_F(g;\beta_0)}{\partial g} = \frac{\left(\frac{\partial \beta_F(h;B)}{\partial h}\right)}{\left(\frac{\partial \beta_F(h;B)}{\partial B}\right)}\Big|_{B=\beta_F(g;\beta_0)}, \tag{18}$$

which implies, on the one hand, that the right-hand side is a function of $\beta_F(g;\beta_0)$, that is,

$$\frac{\partial \beta_F(g;\beta_0)}{\partial g} = F(\beta_F(g;\beta_0)), \tag{19}$$

and also that it is independent of h, therefore

$$\frac{\partial \beta_F(h;B)}{\partial h} = F(B)\left(\frac{\partial \beta_F(h;B)}{\partial B}\right). \tag{20}$$

Combining Eq. 19 with $g = h$ and Eq. 20, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial \beta_F(h;\beta_0)}{\partial \beta_0} = \frac{F(\beta_F(h;\beta_0))}{F(\beta_0)}. \tag{21}$$

Now we incorporate the second postulate, $\beta_F(h;\beta_0)h = D(\beta_0 h)$ and require the equality of the second-order cross derivatives,

$$\frac{\partial^2 \beta_F}{\partial h \partial \beta_0} = F'\left(\frac{D(\beta_0 h)}{h}\right) D'(\beta_0 h) \tag{22}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial^2 \beta_F}{\partial h \partial \beta_0} = \frac{F'\left(\frac{D(\beta_0 h)}{h}\right)}{F(\beta_0)}\left[\frac{\beta_0 D'(\beta_0 h)}{h} - \frac{D(\beta_0 h)}{h^2}\right], \tag{23}$$

leading to

$$\frac{F(\beta_0)}{\beta_0^2} = \frac{1}{\beta_0 h} - \frac{D(\beta_0 h)}{D'(\beta_0 h)(\beta_0 h)^2}. \tag{24}$$

As the left-hand side does not depend on h, it follows that both sides are equal to a constant, K. Then, the function F is given by $F(x) = Kx^2$ and also

$$D'(x) = \frac{D(x)}{x(1-Kx)}, \tag{25}$$

with general solution,

$$D(x) = \frac{\lambda x}{1-Kx}. \tag{26}$$

where λ is an integration constant, to be determined. We now have, for the fundamental inverse temperature,

$$\beta_F(h;\beta_0) = \frac{D(\beta_0 h)}{h} = \frac{\lambda \beta_0}{1 - K\beta_0 h}. \tag{27}$$

As $\beta_F(0;\beta_0) = \beta_0$, the integration constant λ must be equal to one, and therefore the only fundamental inverse temperature compatible with Eqs. 12 and 14 has the q-canonical form

$$\beta_F(h;\beta_0) = \frac{\beta_0}{1-(1-q)\beta_0 h}, \tag{28}$$

after the identification of K with $1-q$. We can check as well that the function $F(x) = Kx^2$ leads to the correct partial derivatives in Eqs. 19 and 21 for the q-canonical,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \beta_F(h;\beta_0)}{\partial h} &= (1-q)\beta_F(h;\beta_0)^2 = F(\beta_F(h;\beta_0)), \\ \frac{\partial \beta_F(h;\beta_0)}{\partial \beta_0} &= \frac{\beta_F(h;\beta_0)^2}{\beta_0^2} = \frac{F(\beta_F(h;\beta_0))}{F(\beta_0)}. \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

CONCLUDING REMARKS

We have shown that the first and second postulates, Eqs. 12 and 14, are necessary and sufficient conditions for the emergence of q-canonical probability distributions. These postulates are in fact invariance requirements, on the partition between a subsystem and its environment,

and under joint rescaling of energy and temperature. Note that, unlike Tsallis statistics or Superstatistics, we have not assumed *a priori* the existence of a parameter q in our postulates; it rather appears naturally as a parametrization of the family of joint solutions of Eq. 12 and 14.

It is interesting to note that the first postulate imposes that the same fundamental temperature function, even the same q index, has to be used for subsystems in contact, and for the whole. This is reminiscent of the issue raised by Nauenberg [19] about equilibrium between systems with different values of q.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

SD gratefully acknowledges funding from FONDECYT 1140514.

* sdavis@cchen.cl

[1] C. Beck and E. G. D. Cohen, Physica A **322**, 267 (2003).
[2] L. Velázquez and S. Curilef, J. Stat. Mech. **3**, P03027 (2009).
[3] J. A. S. Lima, R. Silva, and J. Santos, Phys. Rev. E **61**, 3260 (2000).
[4] G. Livadiotis, J. Geophys. Res. **120**, 1607 (2015).
[5] S. Jung, B. D. Storey, J. Aubert, and H. L. Swinney, Phys. D **193**, 252 (2004).
[6] J. Du, EPL **67**, 893 (2004).
[7] J. Cleymans, J. Phys.: Conf. Series **455**, 012049 (2013).
[8] C. Tsallis, J. Stat. Phys. **52**, 479 (1988).
[9] C. Tsallis, *Introduction to Nonextensive Statistical Mechanics* (Springer, 2009).
[10] C. Beck, Continuum Mech. Thermodyn. **16**, 293 (2004).
[11] F. Sattin, Eur. Phys. J. B **49**, 219 (2006).
[12] H. Loguercio and S. Davis, J. Phys.: Conf. Series **720**, 12007 (2016).
[13] G. Palma, G. Gutiérrez, and S. Davis, Phys. Rev. E **94**, 062113 (2016).
[14] L. Velázquez and S. Curilef, J. Stat. Mech. **3**, P03027 (2009).
[15] S. Davis and G. Gutiérrez, Phys. Rev. E **86**, 051136 (2012).
[16] S. Davis and G. Gutiérrez, AIP Conf. Proc. **1558**, 1779 (2013).
[17] M. S. S. Challa and J. H. Hetherington, Phys. Rev. A **38**, 6324 (1988).
[18] R. S. Johal, A. Planes, and E. Vives, Phys. Rev. E **68**, 056113 (2003).
[19] M. Nauenberg, Phys. Rev. E **67**, 036114 (2003).